This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China and a grant for Key Research Project from the State Science and Technology Commission.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: OH1068). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bradley, D. C. & Dawes, H. M. (1987). J. Organomet. Chem. 55, 325-328.
- Bradley, D. C. & Dawes, H. M. (1990). Polyhedron, 9, 343-345.
- Bradley, D. C. & Hursthouse, M. B. (1992). Organometallics, 11, 113–115.
- Jones, A. C. & Rushworth, S. A. (1989). J. Cryst. Growth, 97, 537-539.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.

#### Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1756-1759

# Aquatris(dichloroacetato)ethanolbis(1,10phenanthroline)lanthanum(III) and the Corresponding Samarium Complex

LU WEIMIN,\* CHENG YIQIANG AND DONG NAN

Department of Chemistry, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou 310028, People's Republic of China

XU CHENG AND CHEN CHENGGANG

Central Laboratory, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou 310028, People's Republic of China

(Received 11 November 1993; accepted 10 January 1995)

## Abstract

The title complexes,  $[La(CHCl_2CO_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2 (C_2H_5OH)(H_2O)$ ] and  $[Sm(CHCl_2CO_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2]$  $(C_2H_5OH)(H_2O)]$ , are isostructural. The La and Sm atoms are nine-coordinate with distorted tricapped trigonal prismatic coordination geometry. The average bond distances in the two structures are La—O(dichloroacetate) 2.470(14), La—O(H<sub>2</sub>O and  $C_2H_5OH$ ) 2.59(9), La-N 2.72(2), Sm-O(dichloroacetate) 2.39 (3),  $Sm - O(H_2O \text{ and } C_2H_5OH)$  2.54 (13) and Sm-N 2.63(4)Å. The complexes form onedimensional intermolecular-hydrogen-bonded chains in a direction nearly parallel to the a axis. This stabilizes the crystal packing.

### Comment

Rare earth dichloroacetates have been studied previously (Sing, Buhtra, Vaishnava & Misra, 1978; Spacu & Antonescu, 1969), particularly from the point of view of their preparation. However, a full understanding of the chemistry of these compounds is limited by the absence of structural information. Structural studies of lanthanide complexes involving carboxylate ligands have shown that the rare earth atoms have several types of coordination, usually with coordination numbers of eight or nine. In complexes containing the same ligands, the heavier rare earth atoms often coordinate to fewer ligands than the lighter ones. The carboxylate ligand has various modes of coordination to lanthanide atoms: monodentate, bidentate, bridging-bidentate or bridging-tridentate (Bone, Sowerby & Verma, 1978; Harrison, Giorgetti & Bunzle, 1985; Sawase, Koizumi, Suzuki, Shimoi & Ouchi, 1984). We have reported the synthesis and structure of dimeric Gd(CCl<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>3</sub>(phen)(EtOH)<sub>2</sub> (phen = 1, 10-phenanthroline) (Mao, Lu & Dong, 1989). As a part of our continuing study of dichloroacetate lanthanide complexes with a series of heterocyclic amine ligands, we have now synthesized and studied the complexes of lanthanide dichloroacetate with two 1,10-phenanthroline ligands (Ln from La to Yb), a series which includes more than one type of complex. The present paper describes the crystal structures of  $[Ln(CHCl_2COO)_3(phen)_2(H_2O)(EtOH)]$  [Ln = La (1) orSm (2)].



The two complexes are isostructural; the structure of the La complex is shown in Fig. 1. The central atom is nine-coordinate: four N atoms from two phenanthroline ligands, three O atoms from monodentate dichloroacetate (dca) ions, one O atom from ethanol and one O atom from water form a distorted tricapped trigonal prism. The atoms O(3), O(5), O(7), N(2), N(3) and N(4) form the prism with O(1), O(8) and N(1) capping the rectangular faces. The average bond distances in the two structures are: La—O(dca) 2.470 (14), La—O(H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH) 2.59 (9), La—N 2.72 (2), Sm—O(dca) 2.39 (3), Sm—O(H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH) 2.54 (13) and Sm—N 2.63 (4) Å. A comparison of the metal–ligand bond distances in the two complexes shows that the bonds are shorter in (2) than in (1), which is to be expected from the lanthanide contraction. None of the atoms of the phenanthroline ligands deviates significantly from the least-squares plane through each ligand. The structures of the phen ligands are all identical and are similar to that of the phen ligand in [Ln(CCl<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>3</sub>(phen)(EtOH)]<sub>2</sub> (Dong, Robertson, Wang & Barton, 1990), which possesses local  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry. The dihedral angle between the least-squares planes of the two phen ligands is 28.93 (13) for (1) and 29.10 (14)° for (2). A Cl atom in one of the dichloroacetate ions is disordered, which suggests that the libration of the CHCl<sub>2</sub> group around the C—C bond is relatively large. A similar phenomenon was observed in the lanthanide trichloroacetate complex (Wang, Dong, Wu & Zheng, 1991).

As shown in Table 3, the ethanol and water molecules form hydrogen bonds with uncoordinated O atoms from the dca groups. Besides the intramolecular hydrogen bonds, one of the H atoms from H<sub>2</sub>O is bonded to the O(6) atom in the next unit at -x, y, z. The entire structure contains one-dimensional chains of intermolecular hydrogen bonds of the type  $O-H\cdots O$  in a direction nearly parallel to the *a* axis, which stabilizes the crystal packing.

The IR spectra of  $[Ln(CHCl_2COO)_3(phen)_2(H_2O)-(EtOH)]$  complexes (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd and Sm) are all similar and the compositions of the complexes are in fair agreement with elemental analyses. The Ce, Pr and Nd complexes may, therefore, have the same structure as the La and Sm complexes.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure and the numbering scheme for the lanthanum complex. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

### Experimental

The title complexes were prepared by similar methods. The relevant lanthanide oxide was dissolved completely in dichloroacetatic acid (Ln:CHCl<sub>2</sub>COOH 1:1.4 molar ratio) to obtain a solution of lanthanide dichloroacetate. After the solution had been evaporated slowly, the dry residue was recrystallized in water and the crystals were dried. 0.15 mmol of lanthanide dichloroacetate hydrate was dissolved completely in 12 ml of a mixed solvent of ethanol and water (1:1  $\nu/\nu$ ) and then mixed with a 0.3 mmol solution of phen in the same solvent. After a few days, single crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by slow evaporation. Analyses: calculated for (1), C 40.58, H 2.87, N 5.91, La 14.65%; found, C 40.37, H 2.65, N 5.93, La 14.66%; calculated for (2), C 40.10, H 2.84, N 5.85, Sm 15.68%; found, C 40.06, H 2.87, N 5.80, Sm 15.79%.

#### Complex (1)

Crystal data

$[La(CHCl_2CO_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2-$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$(C_2H_5OH)(H_2O)]$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
$M_r = 947.21$	Cell parameters from 25
Triclinic	reflections
P1	$\theta = 11 - 15^{\circ}$
a = 8.554(1) Å	$\mu = 1.66 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 11.359 (2) Å	T = 293  K
c = 19.748 (6) Å	Prism
$\alpha = 76.43 (2)^{\circ}$	$0.45 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm
$\beta = 81.85 (1)^{\circ}$	Colourless
$\gamma = 81.35 (4)^{\circ}$	
V = 1832.7 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	
Z = 2	

Data collection

 $D_x = 1.716 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan  $T_{min} = 0.743$ ,  $T_{max} =$ 1.000 5919 measured reflections 5730 independent reflections 5204 observed reflections  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on F $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max}$ R = 0.044 $\Delta \rho_{max} =$ wR = 0.047 $\Delta \rho_{min} =$ S = 1.26Atomic si5204 reflectionsfrom h423 parametersfor X-rUnit weights applied(1974,

#### Complex (2)

Crystal data  $[Sm(CHCl_2CO_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2-(C_2H_5OH)(H_2O)]$   $R_{int} = 0.01$   $\theta_{max} = 24^{\circ}$   $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$   $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$   $l = -22 \rightarrow 0$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 200 reflections intensity decay: 0.5%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.03$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 2.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å

1758

# $[Ln(CHCl_2CO_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2(C_2H_5OH)(H_2O)]$ (Ln = La, Sm)

$M_r = 95$	58.71	Ce	ell parameters fi	rom 25	C(6)	0.3285 (9)	0.4341 (6)	0.3782 (4)	5.7 (2)
Triclinic	•		reflections		C(7) C(8)	-0.0800 (8)	0.3108 (6)	0.4255 (3)	4.0(1)
<i>P</i> 1		$\boldsymbol{ heta}$ :	= 11–15°		C(9)	-0.0424(8)	0.2292 (6)	0.5454 (3)	4.5 (1)
a = 8.47	76 (4) Å	$\mu$	$= 2.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$		C(10)	0.0769 (7)	0.1406 (6)	0.5246 (3)	3.8 (1)
b = 11.3	302 (2) Å	T	= 293 K		C(11)	0.1618 (8)	0.0486 (7)	0.5732 (3)	4.8 (2)
c = 19.6	531 (6) Å	Pr	ism		C(12)	0.2720 (8)	-0.0353 (7)	0.5512 (4)	4.8 (2)
$\alpha = 77.$	$16(2)^{\circ}$	0.3	$35 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$	15 mm	C(13)	0.3068 (7)	-0.0358 (6)	0.4781 (3)	3.9(1)
$\beta = 820$	$(3)^{\circ}$	Li	oht vellow		C(14) C(15)	0.4182(8)	-0.1245(6) -0.1226(6)	0.4539 (4)	5.1(2)
$\alpha = 81^{\circ}$	36 (2)°	21	gint yenrow		C(15)	0.3532 (8)	-0.0342(6)	0.3850 (4)	$\frac{3.3(2)}{44(1)}$
V = 190	$1 \ (0) \ \lambda^3$				C(17)	0.2260 (6)	0.0526 (5)	0.4288 (3)	3.2 (1)
v = 100	1.0 (9) A				C(18)	0.1096 (6)	0.1448 (5)	0.4518 (3)	3.1 (1)
L = L	-3				C(19)	0.5042 (8)	0.1508 (6)	0.1720 (4)	4.6 (2)
$D_x = 1.$	/6/ Mg m -				C(20)	0.6185 (8)	0.1096 (7)	0.1219 (4)	5.9 (2)
	11				C(21)	0.5785 (9)	0.1203 (7)	0.0559 (4)	5.6 (2)
Dala co	liection				C(22) C(23)	0.4237(8)	0.1717(0) 0.1875(7)	-0.0284(3)	4.3(1)
Enraf-N	Ionius CAD-4	Riu R	$_{\rm nt} = 0.021$		C(23)	0.374(1) 0.2279(9)	0.1373(7) 0.2393(7)	-0.0234(3) -0.0433(3)	53(2)
diffra	ctometer	$\theta_{\rm m}$	$_{\text{nax}} = 25^{\circ}$		C(25)	0.1172 (8)	0.2796 (6)	0.0103 (3)	4.3 (1)
$\omega/2\theta$ sc	ans	h	$= -10 \rightarrow 10$		C(26)	-0.0357 (9)	0.3338 (7)	-0.0035 (4)	5.5 (2)
Absorpt	ion correction	n: k:	$= -13 \rightarrow 13$		C(27)	-0.1401 (9)	0.3699 (8)	0.0491 (4)	5.6 (2)
1/2 503	n		$= -23 \rightarrow 0$		C(28)	-0.0901 (8)	0.3502 (7)	0.1150 (4)	4.8 (2)
$\tau$	-0.820 T	- 2	standard roflaat		C(29)	0.1593 (7)	0.2644 (5)	0.0785 (3)	3.4 (1)
	$-0.020, I_{\max}$	- 3	stanuaru renecti	200	C(30)	0.31/2(/)	0.2099 (5)	0.0939 (3)	3.4 (1)
0.999		.•	monitorea ever	y 200	C(31)	0.410(1) 0.429(1)	0.4891(8) 0.541(1)	0.1039 (3)	0.3 (2) 8 6 (3)
6338 m	easured reflec	tions	reflections		C(32)	0.429 (1)	0.541(1)	0.0910 (3)	8.0 (5)
6318 in	dependent ref	lections	intensity decay	: 0.6%	Comple	x (2)			
5404 ob	served reflect	ions			Sm	0.13189 (4)	0.24687 (3)	0.26288 (2)	2.701 (5)
[I > ]	$3\sigma(I)$ ]				Cl(1)	0.0509 (3)	-0.0426 (2)	0.08837 (9)	5.93 (5)
					Cl(2)	0.2754 (3)	-0.1679 (2)	0.1860(1)	7.39 (5)
Refinem	ent				Cl(3)	-0.3258 (3)	0.7301 (2)	0.2269 (1)	5.94 (5)
D C					Cl(4)	-0.1697 (3)	0.6449 (2)	0.3532 (1)	6.59 (5)
Rennem	ient on F	(2	$\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.63$	° 3	CI(5)	0.3377 (5)	0.5838 (2)	0.3402 (2)	11.7 (1)
R = 0.04	41	$\Delta$	$\rho_{\rm max} = 2.273 {\rm e}$	A_,	CI(6)T	0.4460 (8)	0.4382(5)	0.4530 (2)	10.7(1)
wR = 0.	046	$\Delta$	$\rho_{\rm min} = -0.885$	e Å <sup>-3</sup>		0.3130(3)	0.3895 (3)	0.4623 (2)	3.80 (9)
S = 1.87	7	At	tomic scattering	factors	O(2)	-0.1298(6)	-0.0300(4)	0.2232(2) 0.2430(3)	54(1)
5404 ret	flections		from Internatio	nal Tables	O(3)	-0.0354(6)	0.4340 (4)	0.2686 (2)	4.1 (1)
423 para	ameters		for X-ray Cryst	allography	O(4)	-0.0072 (6)	0.6052 (4)	0.1887 (3)	5.1 (1)
Unit we	ights applied		(1974 Vol IV)		O(5)	0.3573 (5)	0.2860 (4)	0.3098 (2)	4.1 (1)
one we	ignts upplied		(1)/4, (01, 17)		O(6)	0.5798 (6)	0.3437 (5)	0.3310 (3)	5.9 (1)
Table 1	. Enactiona	1			0(7)	-0.1490 (5)	0.2101 (4)	0.2808 (2)	3.76 (9)
Table	I. r raciiona	ι αιοπις coo	prainates ana	equivalent	N(1)	0.2343 (0)	0.4399(3) 0.2318(4)	0.1855(5) 0.3003(2)	3.4(1)
isotrop	ic displacem	ent paramete	ers (A <sup>2</sup> ) for cor	nplexes (1)	N(2)	0.2514 (6)	0.0549 (4)	0.3535(3)	3.3(1)
		and $(2)$	-		N(3)	0.3562 (6)	0.1963 (5)	0.1657 (3)	3.4 (1)
		u ( <b>_</b> )			N(4)	0.0504 (6)	0.2951 (5)	0.1363 (3)	3.3 (1)
	$B_{eq} =$	$(8\pi^2/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_iL$	$J_{ii}a_i^*a_i^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_i.$		C(1)	0.0134 (7)	-0.0093 (5)	0.2217 (3)	3.1 (1)
			,	D	C(2)	0.0743 (8)	-0.1099 (6)	0.1785 (3)	3.9(1)
Complex	x (1)	у	Z	Beq	C(3)	-0.0770 (8)	0.5437 (6)	0.2406 (3)	3.4 (1)
La	0.13290 (4)	0 25134 (3)	0 26272 (2)	2 659 (6)	C(4)	0 4345 (7)	0.3460 (5)	0.2800 (3)	3.0(1) 3.3(1)
Cl(1)	0.0537 (3)	-0.0397 (2)	0.08782 (9)	5.95 (5)	C(6)	0.325 (1)	0.4348 (7)	0.3768 (4)	5.4 (2)
Cl(2)	0.2736 (3)	-0.1643 (2)	0.1870(1)	7.36 (5)	C(7)	-0.0792 (8)	0.3117 (6)	0.4225 (3)	3.8(1)
Cl(3)	-0.3284 (2)	0.7396 (2)	0.2294 (1)	6.05 (5)	C(8)	-0.1219 (9)	0.3132 (7)	0.4935 (4)	4.7 (2)
Cl(4)	-0.1746 (3)	0.6475 (2)	0.3555 (1)	6.77 (5)	C(9)	-0.0415 (9)	0.2300 (7)	0.5423 (3)	4.6 (2)
CI(5)	0.3309 (5)	0.5836 (2)	0.3391 (2)	13.1 (1)	C(10)	0.0/81(8)	0.1423 (6)	0.5207(3)	3.7(1)
Cl(0)	0.4300(7)	0.4451 (5)	0.4318(2) 0.4625(2)	9.7 (1) 5.32 (0)	C(11)	0.1044 (9)	-0.0301(7)	0.5688 (4)	4.9(2)
O(1)	0.1099 (5)	0.0556(4)	0.2313 (2)	4.08 (9)	C(12)	0.3098 (8)	-0.0361 (6)	0.4730 (4)	3.9(1)
O(2)	-0.1326 (5)	0.0042 (4)	0.2376 (3)	5.5 (1)	C(14)	0.4211 (9)	-0.1230 (7)	0.4474 (4)	5.1 (2)
O(3)	-0.0429 (5)	0.4420 (4)	0.2692 (2)	4.1 (1)	C(15)	0.444 (1)	-0.1225 (7)	0.3772 (4)	5.3 (2)
O(4)	-0.0114 (5)	0.6153 (4)	0.1907 (2)	4.9 (1)	C(16)	0.3538 (8)	-0.0328 (6)	0.3327 (4)	4.3 (2)
O(5)	0.3667 (5)	0.2859 (4)	0.3119 (2)	4.20 (9)	C(17)	0.2282 (7)	0.0541 (5)	0.4238 (3)	3.0(1)
O(0)	0.3839 (3)	0.3490 (4)	0.3324 (3)	0.2 (1) 3 07 (0)	C(18)	0.1124 (7)	0.1461 (5)	0.44/4 (3)	3.0(1)
O(8)	0.2523 (5)	0.4496(4)	0.1858 (3)	52(1)	C(20)	0.6194 (9)	0.1497 (7)	0.1781(4) 0.1277(5)	4.3 (2) 5 8 (2)
N(1)	0.0337 (6)	0.2299 (4)	0.4032 (2)	3.2 (1)	C(21)	0.579(1)	0.1201 (7)	0.0616 (4)	5.8 (2)
N(2)	0.2489 (6)	0.0536 (4)	0.3586 (2)	3.5 (1)	C(22)	0.4262 (8)	0.1706 (6)	0.0452 (4)	4.2 (2)
N(3)	0.3584 (5)	0.1992 (4)	0.1596 (2)	3.5 (1)	C(23)	0.376 (1)	0.1868 (7)	-0.0242 (4)	5.5 (2)
N(4)	0.0542 (6)	0.2991 (5)	0.1306 (2)	3.6 (1)	C(24)	0.230(1)	0.2381 (7)	-0.0380 (4)	5.3 (2)
C(1)	0.0116 (7)	-0.0057 (5)	0.2202 (3)	5.5 (1) 3.0 (1)	C(25)	0.1169 (9)	0.2781 (6)	0.0148 (3)	4.3 (2) 5 4 (2)
C(2) C(3)	-0.0803(7)	0.5522 (5)	0.1782 (3)	3.5(1)	C(20) C(27)	-0.038(1) -0.144(1)	0.3330 (8)	0.0013 (4)	5.4 (2) 5 5 (2)
C(4)	-0.2315 (7)	0.6089 (5)	0.2812 (3)	3.8 (1)	C(28)	-0.0943 (8)	0.3468 (7)	0.1213 (4)	4.4 (2)
C(5)	0.4403 (7)	0.3484 (5)	0.3366 (3)	3.6 (1)	C(29)	0.1580 (7)	0.2609 (5)	0.0839 (3)	3.2 (1)

#### $\dagger$ Site occupancy = 0.5.

#### Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

Complex (1)		Complex (2)	
La-0(1)	2.486 (3)	SmO(1)	2.422 (3
La-0(3)	2.462 (3)	Sm	2.379 (3
La-0(5)	2.462 (3)	SmO(5)	2.369 (3
La0(7)	2.535 (3)	Sm—O(7)	2.443 (3
La-0(8)	2.659 (3)	Sm—O(8)	2.629 (4
La = N(1)	2.749 (3)	Sm—N(1)	2.667 (3
La = N(2)	2.735 (4)	SmN(2)	2.650 (4
La = N(3)	2.706 (3)	SmN(3)	2.594 (4
La—N(4)	2.698 (4)	SmN(4)	2.591 (3
O(1)-La-O(3)	136.1 (1)	O(1)—Sm—O(3)	137.0 (1
O(1)-La-O(5)	122.8 (1)	O(1)—Sm—O(5)	124.7 (1
O(1)-La-O(7)	70.14 (9)	O(1)—Sm—O(7)	70.8 (1
O(1)-La-O(8)	130.1 (1)	O(1)—Sm—O(8)	127.9 (1)
O(1)—La— $N(1)$	108.2 (1)	O(1)—Sm—N(1)	110.2 (1)
O(1)-La-N(2)	67.9 (1)	O(1)—Sm—N(2)	68.2 (1
O(1)-La-N(3)	67.2(1)	O(1)—Sm—N(3)	67.2 (1
O(1)—La—N(4)	71.2 (1)	O(1)—Sm—N(4)	71.1 (1
O(3)-La-O(5)	100.8 (1)	O(3)—Sm—O(5)	98.8 (1
O(3)-La-O(7)	69.2 (1)	O(3)—Sm—O(7)	70.7 (1
O(3)-La-O(8)	66.5(1)	O(3)—Sm—O(8)	66.5 (1
O(3)—La— $N(1)$	75.6(1)	O(3)-Sm-N(1)	75.3 (1
O(3)-La-N(2)	135.1 (1)	O(3)—Sm—N(2)	136.8 (1
O(3)-La-N(3)	129.8 (1)	O(3)—Sm—N(3)	129.2 (1
O(3)-La-N(4)	83.6(1)	O(3)—Sm—N(4)	82.1 (1
O(5)-La-O(7)	150.1 (1)	O(5)—Sm—O(7)	148.7 (1
O(5)-La-O(8)	69.7 (1)	O(5)—Sm—O(8)	68.7 (1
O(5)-La-N(1)	75.9(1)	O(5) - Sm - N(1)	75.9 (1
O(5)-La-N(2)	66.7 (1)	O(5)—Sm—N(2)	67.7 (1
O(5)-La-N(3)	81.8(1)	O(5)—Sm—N(3)	80.5 (1
O(5)-La-N(4)	132.6(1)	O(5)—Sm—N(4)	132.4 (1
O(7)-La-O(8)	125.5 (1)	O(7)—Sm—O(8)	127.3 (1
O(7)-La-N(1)	74.3 (1)	O(7)—Sm—N(1)	72.9 (1
O(7)—La—N(2)	99.8 (1)	O(7)—Sm—N(2)	99.3 (1
O(7)-La-N(3)	126.8 (1)	O(7)—Sm—N(3)	129.4 (1
O(7)—La—N(4)	75.8 (1)	O(7)-Sm-N(4)	76.7 (1
O(8)-La-N(1)	121.5 (1)	O(8)—Sm—N(1)	121.7 (1)
O(8)-La-N(2)	134.2 (1)	O(8)—Sm—N(2)	133.1 (1)
O(8)—La—N(3)	67.8 (1)	O(8)—Sm—N(3)	66.2 (1)
O(8)—La—N(4)	69.2 (1)	O(8)—Sm—N(4)	68.4 (1)
N(1)—La— $N(2)$	59.6 (1)	N(1)—Sm—N(2)	61.7 (1)
N(1)-La-N(3)	149.4 (1)	N(1)—Sm—N(3)	148.6 (1)
N(1)-La-N(4)	148.1 (1)	N(1)—Sm—N(4)	146.7 (1
N(2)—La—N(3)	92.4 (1)	N(2)—Sm—N(3)	90.4 (1
N(2)—La—N(4)	137.6 (1)	N(2)—Sm—N(4)	138.0 (1
N(3)—La— $N(4)$	61.4(1)	N(3) - Sm - N(4)	64.0 (1

### Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
Complex (1)		
O(7) - H(71) + O(2)	2.680 (7)	165.1 (2)
$O(7) - H(72) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^{i})$	2.725 (6)	166.5 (2)
O(8)—H(08)···O(4)	2.718 (6)	160.4 (2)
Complex (2)		
$O(7) - H(71) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)$	2.658 (7)	161.1 (2)
$O(7) - H(72) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^{i})$	2.743 (6)	166.7 (2)
O(8)—H(08)· · ·O(4)	2.679 (7)	155.2 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.

The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. All non-H atoms were located through Patterson and Fourier techniques and were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods including anisotropic displacement parameters. The atom Cl(6) of one dichloroacetato group was originally treated as fully occupied, but a strong peak along the C—Cl(6) vector was then found in the difference Fourier map, and was included in the model as atom Cl(6'); the atoms Cl(6)and Cl(6') were assigned a site occupancy of 0.5 each. 19 H atoms of complex (1) and 21 H atoms of complex (2) were located by the same method but were not refined. Program used throughout the analysis: Enraf-Nonius *SDP-Plus* (Frenz, 1985).

This work was partly supported by a National Natural Science Foundation Grant of China.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving H atoms, leastsquares-planes data and hydrogen-bond data have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1156). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bone, S. P., Sowerby, D. B. & Verma, R. D. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1544–1548.
- Dong, N., Robertson, B. E., Wang, H. & Barton, R. J. (1990). J. Coord. Chem. 22, 191–204.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Harrison, D., Giorgetti, A. & Bunzle, J. G. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 885–898.

- Mao, L., Lu, W. & Dong, N. (1989). Jiegouo Huaxue (J. Struct. Chem. China), 8, 75-77.
- Sawase, H., Koizumi, Y., Suzuki, Y., Shimoi, M. & Ouchi, A. (1984). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 57, 2730–2737.
- Sing, M., Buhtra, M. P., Vaishnava, P. P. & Misra, S. N. (1978). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 51, 1241-1242.
- Spacu, P. & Antonescu, E. (1969). Rev. Roum. Chim. 14, 20.

Wang, J. R., Dong, N., Wu, G. & Zheng, P. J. (1991). Gaodenxuexiao Huaxua Xuebao (Chem. J. Chin. Univ.), 12, 1284–1288.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1759-1761

# Bis[tris(2-hydroxyethyl)amine]nickel(II) Chloride

Hasan İçbudak,† Veysel T. Yilmaz,† R. Alan Howie, Ömer Andaç and Halis Ölmez†

Aberdeen University, Chemistry Department, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB9 2UE, Scotland

(Received 25 October 1994; accepted 1 February 1995)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of bis(2,2',2''-nitrilotriethanol-N,O,O')nickel(II) chloride, [Ni(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>, has been determined from X-ray intensity data. The structure consists of an [Ni(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation and two

† Present address: Ondokuz Mayıs University, Chemistry Department, 55139 Kurupelit, Samsun, Turkey.